

Strongly Non-Equilibrium Bose-Einstein Condensation in a Trapped Gas

Boris Svistunov

Russian Research Center “Kurchatov Institute”, 123182 Moscow, Russia

We present a qualitative (and quantitative, at the level of estimates) analysis of the ordering kinetics in a strongly non-equilibrium state of a weakly interacting Bose gas, trapped with an external potential. At certain conditions, the ordering process is predicted to be even more rich than in the homogeneous case. Like in the homogeneous case, the most characteristic feature of the full-scale non-equilibrium process is the formation of superfluid turbulence.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Fi, 32.80.Pj, 67.40.-w

Kinetics of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in a weakly interacting Bose gas is one of the most fundamental problems of non-equilibrium statistical mechanics. The exciting progress in the experiment on BEC in ultracold gases initiated by the pioneer works [1] opens up an opportunity of laboratory study of BEC kinetics. Though an important step in this direction has been already made [2], most non-trivial regimes of ordering kinetics have not been achieved yet.

In Refs. [3–5] (see also Ref. [6]) a scenario of BEC in a strongly non-equilibrium self-evolving homogeneous gas was developed. The scenario involves a number of qualitatively different stages. The evolution starts with an explosion-like wave in the wavenumber space, propagating from higher energies towards the lower ones, and resulting in a formation of a specific power-law distribution of particles over the wavenumbers [3]. Immediately after its formation, this distribution starts to relax, the relaxation having the form of a back wave in the wavenumber space [3]. Simultaneously, in the low-energy region the so-called coherent regime sets in that leads to the formation of the quasicondensate state [4]. Basically, the quasicondensate state corresponds to what is known in the theory of superfluidity as the state of superfluid turbulence. It can be viewed as a condensate containing a tangle of vortex lines (plus a sharply non-equilibrium distribution of long-wave phonons) [5]. The formation of the quasicondensate occurs very rapidly (characteristic time is much smaller than the time of the wave formation) [3,4]. In contrast to it, the final stage of long-range ordering, associated with relaxing superfluid turbulence and long-wave phonons, takes a macroscopically large (the size of the system enters the answer) time [5].

From a common wisdom one may expect that at certain conditions strongly non-equilibrium BEC in a trapped gas should reproduce main qualitative features of the homogeneous scenario. It is not surprising thus that the explosion-like initial kinetic stage [3] is quite relevant to the case of the trapped gas [7,8] and is consistent with

the experimental observations of Ref. [2]. Nevertheless, full-scale experimental realization of the scenario [3–5] in a trapped gas is not guaranteed yet by just considerable deviation from the equilibrium in the initial state. The point is that the situation with the BEC kinetics in ultracold gases is determined by a competition between large number of particles and very small interaction. The latter circumstance leads to a rather large coherent length associated with the ordering process, which for a trapped gas can turn out to be just larger than the size of the condensate, in which case the character of the condensate formation will be drastically changed: Instead of quasicondensate state there will take place a build-up of a genuine condensate with the initial form pre-determined by the external potential. The theoretical analysis of the BEC kinetics in a trapped gas has been dealing mostly with this physical picture [7,8], ignoring thus the non-trivial regime associated with the superfluid turbulence formation.

The main goal of the present letter is to consider the superfluid-turbulence regime of BEC kinetics in an external potential. We will demonstrate that the necessary and sufficient condition for this regime to occur corresponds to violation of the Knudsen condition at a certain stage of self-evolution within a certain region of coordinate space. While the external potential together with the initial number and energy of particles determines the initial parameters of the quasicondensate: the size R_* , the number of quasicondensate particles $\tilde{N}_0$, and typical initial separation between vortex lines in the vortex tangle, r_0 , the very process of the quasicondensate formation goes in a quasi-homogeneous *anti*-Knudsen regime when the external potential becomes *irrelevant* and, in principle, may be even turned off without any considerable effect on the process of the quasicondensate formation.

In the experiments with trapped ultracold gases normally there takes place the Knudsen regime, when the free path length of a particle with the energy ε , $l_{\text{free}}(\varepsilon, n_\varepsilon)$ [which depends also on occupation numbers n_ε because of the stimulated scattering], is much larger than the typical radius of the particle’s trajectory, R_ε . For definiteness we consider a parabolic trap with all the three frequencies of the same order ω_0 . So that $R_\varepsilon \sim \omega_0 v(\varepsilon)$ [$v(\varepsilon)$ is the typical velocity corresponding to the energy ε], and the condition $l_{\text{free}}(\varepsilon, n_\varepsilon) \gg R_\varepsilon$ is equivalent to $\tau_{\text{coll}}(\varepsilon, n_\varepsilon)\omega_0 \gg 1$, where ($\hbar = 1$)

$$\tau_{\text{coll}}(\varepsilon, n_\varepsilon) \sim 1/m(a\varepsilon n_\varepsilon)^2 \quad (1)$$

is the collisional time. Here a is the scattering length and m is the particle mass.

Knudsen regime is a convenient starting point for analyzing kinetics in a potential. Almost in all qualitative aspects it corresponds to an isotropic homogeneous case, since the distribution of particles depends only on the two variables, ε and t (ergodic approximation). The scaling of the collision time remains the same, so that the main quantitative difference comes from the difference in the density of states.

During some initial period of evolution the correlations between different single-particle modes are vanishingly small. Such a regime admits a description in terms of kinetic equation. This stage is thus referred to as kinetic stage. If the deviation from equilibrium is strong enough, the evolution at the kinetic stage results in the formation of a self-similar wave in the wavenumber space propagating in an explosion-like fashion from the high-energy region (where the particles are initially distributed) towards lower energy scales. Corresponding solution of the kinetic equation has the form [3]

$$n_\varepsilon(t) = A\varepsilon_0^{-\alpha}(t)f(\varepsilon/\varepsilon_0(t)), \quad t \leq t_*, \quad (2)$$

$$\varepsilon_0(t) = B |t_* - t|^{1/2(\alpha-1)}. \quad (3)$$

Here A and B are dimensional constants depending on the initial condition and related to each other by the formula $B = \text{const}(\text{ma}^2\text{A}^2)^{1/2(\alpha-1)}$. The dimensionless function f is defined up to an obvious scaling freedom. The explosion character of the evolution guarantees that the wave reaches the point $\varepsilon = 0$ at some finite time moment $t = t_*$ [$t = 0$ corresponds to the beginning of evolution], the value of t_* being on the order of the collisional time at $t = 0$. Physically, the explosion-like evolution is supported by the stimulation of the collision rate at the head of the wave, $\varepsilon \sim \varepsilon_0$, by ever growing occupation numbers. A remarkable feature about the solution (2)-(3) is its universality: The coefficient A is the *only* quantity related to a particular cooling regime or a particular form of the initial condition. Having in mind the most characteristic statement of the problem when the self-evolution starts with an essentially non-equilibrium state of N particles in a parabolic trap of typical frequency ω_0 , with a typical occupation numbers $\gamma > 1$, we readily estimate A by extrapolating the solution (2)-(3) to $t = 0$:

$$A \sim \gamma^{1-\alpha/3} \omega_0^\alpha N^{\alpha/3}. \quad (4)$$

Generally speaking, the index α in (2)-(3) cannot be established from the scaling properties of the collision term of the kinetic equation, being related thus to the particular form of the latter [9]. It is possible, however, to specify lower and upper limits for α following from the consistency of (2)-(3) with the requirement that these formulae describe an explosion-like singularization of distribution (rather than infinite-time shrinking). To this end we note that from the scale invariance of the collisional term it

follows that $f(x)$ should behave at $x \gg 1$ like some power of x . Then, from the fact that at $t = t_*$ the occupation numbers are finite at $\varepsilon > 0$ one concludes that

$$f(x) \rightarrow x^{-\alpha} \text{ at } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5)$$

The requirement that the particle distribution does not shrink as a whole implies that the number-of-particles integral for the distribution (2), (5) is divergent at $\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$. The number of particles $N = \int w(\varepsilon)n_\varepsilon d\varepsilon$, where the density of states $w(\varepsilon)$ is given by

$$w(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} Vm^{3/2}\varepsilon^{1/2}/\sqrt{2}\pi^2 & (\text{homogeneous}), \\ 2\varepsilon^2/\omega_x\omega_y\omega_z & (\text{parabolic potential}). \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Here V is the volume of a homogeneous system and ω_x , ω_y , and ω_z are the frequencies of the 3D harmonic oscillator. This immediately yields $\alpha < 3/2$ for the homogeneous case and $\alpha < 3$ for the case of parabolic potential. The condition $\alpha > 1$ is necessary for $\varepsilon_0(t)$ to approach zero at $t = t_*$. So we have $1 < \alpha < 3/2$ in the homogeneous case and $1 < \alpha < 3$ in the parabolic potential. The most accurate up-to-date numeric analysis of α in the homogeneous case was performed in Ref. [6] with the result $\alpha \approx 1.24$. In the case of parabolic potential $\alpha \approx 1.6$ [7,8].

Formally, at $t = t_*$ the front of the wave $\varepsilon_0(t)$ reaches the energy $\varepsilon = 0$. However, somewhat earlier the solution (2)-(3) becomes inapplicable in the region of very small energies. In the homogeneous case this occurs due to the change of the evolution regime (in corresponding low-energy region) from weak turbulence to strong turbulence (the so-called coherent regime) [3,4]. In the external potential, however, the scenario is modified. As we demonstrate below, what changes the solution (2)-(3) at a certain stage of evolution of a trapped gas is either (i) discreteness of the levels, or (ii) violation of the Knudsen regime in a certain region of the coordinate space. Apart from a cross-over region, the two circumstances are mutually exclusive: If discreteness of the levels becomes relevant, the Knudsen regime will not be violated, and if the Knudsen regime is violated, the discreteness of levels will not become relevant. Hence, the change of the evolution in a trapped gas from the self-similar wave (2)-(3) is governed by the following two parameters:

$$\xi = \tau_{\text{coll}}\omega_0 \quad (\text{Knudsen parameter}), \quad (7)$$

$$d = \tau_{\text{coll}}/w(\varepsilon) \quad (\text{discreteness parameter}). \quad (8)$$

Knudsen regime corresponds to $\xi \gg 1$; the discreteness of the levels is irrelevant when $d \ll 1$. Note that τ_{coll} essentially depends on ε and n_ε , which ultimately means that both ξ and d are the functions of energy and time.

First, let us consider the case (i), where the condition $d \sim 1$ occurs when the front of the wave $\varepsilon_0(t)$ reaches some energy ε_d . As it follows from (1)-(3), (6), the parameter d at the front of the wave can be estimated as

$$d_{\varepsilon_0} \sim \omega_0^3 \varepsilon_0^{2(\alpha-2)} / m a^2 A^2. \quad (9)$$

From Eq.(9) we see that d_{ε_0} decreases with decreasing ε_0 only if $\alpha < 2$, which means that the case (i) is possible only under this condition. [In this respect the result $\alpha \approx 1.6$ [7,8] is of qualitative importance!] Substituting A from (4) into (9) and setting $d_{\varepsilon_0} \sim 1$, we find

$$\varepsilon_d \sim \omega_0 p^{-1/2(2-\alpha)}, \quad (10)$$

$$p = \omega_0 m a^2 \left(N^{\alpha/3} \gamma^{1-\alpha/3} \right)^2. \quad (11)$$

Here p is the main dimensionless parameter which we will encounter several times. By definition, in the case (i) the value of ε_d Eq.(10) should be greater than ω_0 , which implies $p \ll 1$. Below we will see that $p \ll 1$ is not only a necessary, but also a sufficient condition for the case (i) to occur: Under this condition the case (ii) is impossible.

To describe what happens after the front $\varepsilon_0(t)$ reaches the energy scale ε_d , we need to make an assumption that the discreteness of the levels leads to a *slowing down* of the evolution cascade at $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_d$. Such an assumption [10] seems to be quite natural in view of the fact that discrete harmonics of different frequencies are out of resonance, which should suppress the cascade in the wavenumber space. If this is the case, the next stage of evolution at the energies $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_d$ will be a fast equilibration [with the characteristic time $\tau_{\text{coll}}(\varepsilon = \varepsilon_d, n_\varepsilon = A/\varepsilon_d^\alpha)$; harmonics with energies $\varepsilon \ll \varepsilon_d$ equilibrate due to the interaction with harmonics $\varepsilon \sim \varepsilon_d$, rather than with each other]. Further evolution should lead to the destruction of the non-equilibrium distribution $n_\varepsilon = A/\varepsilon^\alpha$ at $\varepsilon \gg \varepsilon_d$, the process having the form of a self-similar back cascade in the wavenumber space (back wave) [3]:

$$n_\varepsilon(t) = A \varepsilon_0^{-\alpha}(t) \tilde{f}(\varepsilon/\varepsilon_0(t)), \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad t > t_* \quad (12)$$

$[\tilde{f}(x) \rightarrow f(x) \text{ at } x \rightarrow \infty]$, where $\varepsilon_0(t)$ is given by the same formula (3). Clearly, Eq. (12) is applicable only if $t - t_*$ greater than the above-mentioned time of equilibration of the harmonics $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_d$. As follows from an estimate of corresponding terms of collision integral [3], the back wave should create a quasi-equilibrium distribution at $\varepsilon \ll \varepsilon_0(t)$, that is $\tilde{f}(x) \propto 1/x$ at $x \ll 1$, with infinite at $t = t_*$ and ever decreasing afterwards temperature $\propto \varepsilon_0^{-\alpha}(t)$.

Since after the formation of the back wave all the harmonics with $\varepsilon \ll \varepsilon_0(t)$, including the lowest one (!), are in a quasi-equilibrium, the process of long-range ordering in the case (i) is somewhat trivial: The state of the harmonics $\varepsilon \ll \varepsilon_0(t)$ is determined by the instant temperature. In particular, the number of condensate particles $N_0(t)$ follows from Eq. (12) by conservation of the total number of particles:

$$N_0(t) = \frac{2A}{\omega_x \omega_y \omega_z} \varepsilon_0^{3-\alpha}(t) \int_0^\infty dx x^2 [x^{-\alpha} - \tilde{f}(x)]. \quad (13)$$

This universal law of the condensate build-up should take place until the back wave cascade reaches the initial energy scale and the further growth of N_0 becomes sensitive to the details of preparation of the initial state.

We now turn to the most non-trivial case (ii), where the condition $\xi \sim 1$ occurs when the front of the wave $\varepsilon_0(t)$ reaches some energy ε_ξ . From (1)-(3) and (6) we have the following estimate for the parameter ξ at the front of the wave:

$$\xi_{\varepsilon_0} \sim \omega_0 \varepsilon_0^{2(\alpha-1)} / m a^2 A^2. \quad (14)$$

Substituting A from (4) into (14) and setting $\xi_{\varepsilon_0} \sim 1$, we find

$$\varepsilon_\xi \sim \omega_0 p^{1/2(\alpha-1)}, \quad (15)$$

which, in particular, means that the case (ii) can only occur if $p > 1$. From Eqs. (10) and (15) we conclude that, apart a cross-over region (corresponding to $p \sim 1$), the following alternative takes place. Either discreteness of the low-lying levels becomes relevant ($p \ll 1$), or there takes place a break-down of Knudsen regime ($p \gg 1$). Geometric size R_* of the area where the break-down of Knudsen regime takes place is obviously related to ε_ξ by $m \omega_0^2 R_*^2 \sim \varepsilon_\xi$, which gives $R_* \sim l_0 p^{1/4(\alpha-1)}$, where $l_0 = 1/\sqrt{m \omega_0}$ is the typical size of the single-particle groundstate wavefunction in the trap.

A natural question then is: What happens at distances $r < R_*$ from the center of the trap after the Knudsen regime is broken down? A self-consistent scenario of future evolution can be constructed by noticing that further increase of the collisional rate due to the stimulated scattering naturally leads to the *anti*-Knudsen regime, when the free-path-length of the low-energy particles becomes much smaller than R_* . This immediately leads to a quasi-homogeneous picture of evolution: the region $r < R_*$ can be formally thought of as a number of independent homogeneous sells of the size much larger than the free-path-length, but much smaller than R_* . Evolution in each sell follows homogeneous scenario, ultimately leading to the formation of the quasicondensate. Indeed, in the anti-Knudsen regime the evolution during the time period on the order of collision time is insensitive to the external potential (the criteria for the Knudsen regime and for the sensitivity to the potential within the collision time coincide). But this time is enough to form the wave (2)-(3) in the wavenumber space (with the exponent α now corresponding to the homogeneous case) and then to form quasicondensate. During the evolution in the wavenumber space, the free-path length of the particles with $\varepsilon \sim \varepsilon_0(t)$ is getting progressively smaller, which renders the proposed scenario self-consistent.

A minor deviation from the pure homogeneous picture is that now the moment t_* depends on the distance from

the center of the potential, so that the coherent regime first should start at $r = 0$ (the point of maximal initial density) and then gradually occupy all the anti-Knudsen region up to $r \sim R_*$. Thus, the process of quasicondensation takes on a form of wave propagating in the coordinate space from $r = 0$ towards $r \sim R_*$. When this wave reaches $r \sim R_*$, the process of formation of the quasicondensate correlation properties is finished, and within the region $r < R_*$ we have a state of superfluid turbulence.

Note that the fact that the quasicondensate formation in the anti-Knudsen regime goes independently of the external potential should lead to certain qualitative effects. For example, after the anti-Knudsen regime is well formed, the trapping potential can, in principle, be *turned off* without any dramatic effect on the (short-range) ordering process. Clearly, experimental realization of such a regime would be interesting and instructive from the fundamental point of view. Another effect, coming from the same reason, is that the quasicondensate, just upon its formation, is out of equilibrium with respect to a global coherent motion in the potential, which means that a breathing mode for the quasicondensate motion should ultimately be excited in a trapped gas.

As far as the evolution in the anti-Knudsen region is of quasi-homogeneous character, all the quantities characterizing this process can be estimated from homogeneous relations of Refs. [3–5]. As an initial condition to the corresponding homogeneous case one should take an extrapolation of the inhomogeneous solution (2)-(3) to the region of breaking down the Knudsen regime. Hence, the typical initial homogeneous single-particle energy and occupation number are nothing else than ε_ξ and A/ε_ξ^α , respectively. Correspondingly, the number of particles involved in the quasi-homogeneous BEC process can be estimated as

$$\tilde{N} \sim \gamma^{1-\alpha/3} N^{\alpha/3} p^{(3-\alpha)/2(\alpha-1)}. \quad (16)$$

An important step of the quasi-homogeneous regime is associated with coming the homogeneous back wave (2)-(3) to the initial energy scale (which in our case corresponds to ε_ξ). At this stage the number of quasicondensate particles $\tilde{N}_0$ is on the order of the total number of particles. Eq.(16) thus yields an estimate of the number of quasicondensate particles which will be created in the course of anti-Knudsen self-evolution in the system, even if the trapping potential is turned off upon the formation of the anti-Knudsen regime.

The state of superfluid turbulence, which arises together with the formation of the quasi-condensate local correlation properties, is characterized by the typical separation between the vortex lines. This separation r_0 is minimal just upon the quasicondensate formation, when r_0 is on the order of the quasicondensate healing length. From the estimate of the quasicondensate density upon its formation [3] we find r_0 and come to the following relation:

$$R_*/r_0 \sim p^\nu, \quad \nu = \frac{4\alpha_0 - 3}{4(\alpha - 1)(2\alpha_0 - 1)}, \quad (17)$$

where $\alpha_0 \approx 1.24$ is the exponent α for the homogeneous case. From (17) we see once again that the formation of the superfluid turbulence is possible only if $p \gg 1$.

In conclusion, we note that in the experiment of Ref. [2] the parameter p is, roughly speaking, of order unity. This means that the full-scale non-equilibrium BEC scenario cannot be seen with these initial conditions. Theoretically, the most direct way of achieving $p \gg 1$ is to radically increase ω_0 immediately after the formation of the non-equilibrium initial state, during the time which is much less than the collisional time.

-
- [1] M.H. Anderson *et al.*, Science **269**, 198 (1995); C.C. Bradley *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1687 (1995); K.B. Davis *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3969 (1995).
 - [2] H.-J. Miesner *et al.* Science **279**, 1005 (1998).
 - [3] B.V. Svistunov, J. Moscow Phys. Soc. **1**, 373 (1991).
 - [4] Yu. Kagan, B.V. Svistunov, and G.V. Shlyapnikov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **101**, 528 (1992) [Sov. Phys. JETP **75**, 387 (1992)].
 - [5] Yu. Kagan and B.V. Svistunov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **105**, 353 (1994) [Sov. Phys. JETP **78**, 187 (1994)].
 - [6] D.V. Semikoz and I.I. Tkachev, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 489 (1997).
 - [7] C.W. Gardiner *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5266 (1998); M.J. Davis, C.W. Gardiner, and R.J. Ballagh, cond-mat/9912439.
 - [8] M.J. Bijlsma, E. Zaremba, and H.T.C. Stoof, cond-mat/0001323.
 - [9] In this connection we cannot but note that the undertaken in Ref. [4] attempt of *a priori* identifying α with the exponent of Zakharov's constant-particle-flux steady-state solution of the kinetic equation is inadequate. (Which, in particular, was demonstrated by an accurate numeric analysis of Ref. [6].)
 - [10] An accurate proof of this assumption, as well as a quantitative check of the other our qualitative predictions could, in principle, be done by numerically simulating time-dependent Gross-Pitaevskii equation (GPE) with corresponding turbulent initial conditions, the applicability of GPE being guaranteed by large occupation numbers [see, e.g., Yu. Kagan and B.V. Svistunov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3331 (1997)].